Correlation functions of QC_2D at finite density

Ouraman Hajizadeh, Tamer Boz, Axel Maas, Jon-Ivar Skullerud

> September, 2017 Zalakaros





Der Wissenschaftsfonds.



Overview

Motivation

- 2 Similarities to SU(3)
- 3 SU(2) at finite density
- 4 Correlation functions
- 5 Finite temperature vs finite density
- 6 conclusion and outlook

Why QC₂D?

- the simplest non-abelian gauge theory with fermions
- accessible at finite density on the lattice
- Insightful to the case of real QCD, based on the similarities.
- Informative about the behavior of non-abelian gauge theories in the different thermodynamic regimes.
- benchmark for other non-perturbative approaches to study QCD in different thermodynamic regimes.

Phase Diagram



(Tamer Boz, Seamus Cotter, Leonard Fister, Dhagash Mehta , Jon-Ivar Skullerud, EPJ 2013)

- hadronic phase at low density and temperature
- QGP at high temperature and/or density
- quarkyonic phase at medium density and low temperature

Order parameters and different phases

Three main distinct phases

- Hadronic: < qq >= 0, < L >~ 0 low T and μ confined, chiral symmetry broken
- Quarkyonic: < qq >≠ 0, < L >~ 0 medium μ, low T: quarks are bulk degrees of freedom (superfluid) but confined
- Quark-Gluon Plasma: at high T < qq >= 0, $< L >\neq 0$
- ? a deconfined strongly interacting quark matter at high density and low temperature < qq >≠ 0, < L >≠ 0

Polyakov loop: an order parameter in unquenched QCD?

- Polyakov loop: order parameter of deconfinement transition of YM theory with static quarks.
- $< L > \neq 0$: deconfined phase: finite free energy for static quarks.
- in unquenched QCD: quarks are dynamical so we have string breaking. the free energy is always finite.
- what is the role of < L > in unquenched QCD, if it is always nonzero?

Polyakov loop vs quark density



(S. Cotter, P. Giudice, S. Hands, J-I. Skullerud, Phys. Rev. D87 034507 (2013))

- a dramatic increase in < L > resembling the "deconfinement" transition in YM theory. for large T and/or μ at T = 0 for μ > μ_d
 < L >≠ 0 for large μ and/or T: deconfined phase, static quark free energy is finite. μ_da = 0.7 for the lowest T.
- n_q enters a strongly interacting region for μ > μ_d, L(μ_d, T) = L(0, T_d)
 not compatible with the deconfinement picture at high temperatures and zero density: perturbative regime.

Phase diagram vs correlation functions

- phase diagram in terms of fundamental degrees freedom
- correlation functions may contain information on thermodynamic features, e.g. phase transitions
- $\bullet\,$ phase transitions occur in medium at finite T and $\mu\,$

finite temperature-density formalism

- Euclidean coordinate: no time evolution: equilibrium
- temperature: compactified "time" direction
- density: boundary condition
- heat bath is at rest: its four velocity only is nonzero along time direction.
- finite T or μ effects: different dressing functions (g_L, g_T) for correlation functions projected along or transversal to the time direction.
- for $p \gg T$ or $p \gg \mu$ the difference of g_T and g_L is getting negligible: restoration of manifest Lorentz symmetry.





- IR enhancement for medium chemical potentials $(\mu_0 < \mu < \mu_d)$ compared to the vacuum, within this region: almost μ independence
- IR screening of large μ ($\mu > \mu_d$)



Gluon propagator vs quark density

(Simon Hands, Seamus Cotter, Pietro Giudice and Jon-Ivar Skullerud, XQCD 2012)

- the screening region of chemical potential for gluon propagator corresponds to the region of strongly interacting quark matter for $\mu > \mu_d \left(\frac{n_q}{n_{SB}} > 1\right)$
- the region $\mu_d > \mu > \mu_0$ with almost constant value for the gluon propagator covers the "weakly" interacting region $n_q \sim n_{SB}$

Gluon Propagator at finite temperature YM



(Christian S. Fischer, Axel Maas, and Jens A. Mueller, EPJ 2010)

• IR limit of longitudinal propagator responds strongly to the phase transition: the drop above T_c



(Christian S. Fischer, Axel Maas, and Jens A. Mueller, EPJ 2010)

- transverse propagator is less sensitive to the phase transition
- below and above T_c almost temperature independent



- no considerable difference between longitudinal and transverse propagator around μ_d .
- different from finite T case.

Screening mass at finite temperature

Screening mass: $M_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(0)}}$, D(0) is the propagator at zero momentum.



(Axel Maas, Jan M. Pawlowski, Lorenz von Smekal, Daniel Spielmann, Phys. Rev. D 85, 034037 (2012))

• the 2nd order phase transition is indicated by the continuous increase of the screening mass of SU(2) gluon propagator as well as the 1st order transition by a jump in the screening mass of SU(3) gluon propagator around T_c

Screening mass at finite temperature



(Axel Maas, Jan M. Pawlowski, Lorenz von Smekal, Daniel Spielmann, Phys. Rev. D 85, 034037 (2012))

• magnetic screening mass does not indicate effects of the phase transition

Screening mass at finite density



- no significant difference between electric (longitudinal) and magnetic (transverse) screening mass
- the effect of transition is not observed at finite density in the electric screening mass compared to finite T.
- the response of the magnetic screening mass to the phase transition is more observable than the electric part, in contrast to the finite T case.

Ghost Dressing function

- \bullet no difference between finite T and μ caes.
- IR enhancement
- no obvious temperature dependence.



SU(2) ghost dressing function

ghost-gluon vertex at finite temperature

no temperature dependence around the phase transition.



(Leonard Fister, Axel Maas, Phys. Rev. D 90, 056008 (2014))

ghost-gluon vertex at finite density



- no significant difference between finite T and finite μ
- IR constant in all cases

Running coupling running coupling derived from ghost-gluon vertex



- similar to the coupling in the vacuum
- no signature of quarkyonic phase $(n_q \sim n_{SB})$

three gluon vertex of SU(2) YM in the vacuum, for different lattices



(Attilio Cucchieri, Axel Maas, Tereza Mendes, Phys. Rev. D77:094510, 2008)

IR suppression of tree level element of the three gluon vertex for three different kinematics.

three gluon vertex of unquenched SU(2) in the vacuum



behavior compatible with the YM case

magnetic three gluon vertex at finite temperature



(Leonard Fister, Axel Maas, Phys. Rev. D 90, 056008 (2014))

- pronounced temperature dependence
- IR enhancement close to T_c , in contrast to T = 0 case.
- sensitivity to the transition like electric propagator: surprising for the magnetic vertex

three gluon vertex at finite density



within the statistics no special trend is observed for the whole set of data

three gluon vertex at finite density, data with less than 50% error



- IR suppression
- no obvious trend, different from T = 0 and $\mu = 0$
- no dependence on the chemical potential in contrast to the effect of temperature.

conclusion

- significant difference between finite temperature and finite density behavior of gluonic sector close to the phase transition
- ghost sector is insensitive to medium: no significant temperature or density dependence for ghost-gluon vertex and ghost propagator
- no signal of free or weakly interacting region from the ggv
- remarkable simplification for functional methods due to the decoupling of ghosts and gluons from matter

outlook

- more extensive finite temperature study in the unquenched regime to be compared to the quenched data in order to determine the effect of the dynamical quarks in the medium on the gauge sector
- searching for the underlying mechanism responsible for qualitatively different critical behavior of gluonic sector at finite density and finite temperature
- studying the matter sector and the related couplings in order to find traces of weakly interacting region of quark matter