# Cosmology

# An introduction

Károly Seller

**ELTE Department for Theoretical Physics** 

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### **1** History of the Universe

# **2** General relativity in a nutshell



## History of the Universe



## A Game of Initial Conditions



## A small part...





## The FLRW metric

	Symmetric			
	(g00	<b>g</b> 01	<b>g</b> 02	g03
<b>g</b> ( <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) =	<b>g</b> 01	<b>g</b> 11	$g_{12}$	<b>g</b> <sub>13</sub>
	<b>g</b> <sub>02</sub>	<b>g</b> <sub>12</sub>	<b>ġ</b> 22	<b>g</b> <sub>23</sub>
	$\langle g_{03} \rangle$	$g_{13}$	<b>g</b> <sub>23</sub>	g <sub>33</sub> /







## FLRW metric: spatial part

- *a*(*t*) is called the **scale factor**
- Line element squared:

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -c^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 + \underbrace{a^2(t)\mathrm{d}\Sigma^2}_{\text{spatial part}}$$

• Spatial part can be...

$$\mathrm{d}\Sigma^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{1-r^2} + r^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega^2 & \text{Spherical geometry} \\ \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega^2 & \text{Flat geometry} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{1+r^2} + r^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega^2 & \text{Hyperbolic geometry} \end{cases}$$

#### FLRW metric: spatial part

- *a*(*t*) is called the **scale factor**
- Line element squared:

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -c^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 + \underbrace{a^2(t)\mathrm{d}\Sigma^2}_{\text{spatial part}}$$

• Spatial part can be...

$$\mathrm{d}\Sigma^{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} + r^{2}\mathrm{d}\Omega^{2} & \text{Spherical geometry} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} + r^{2}\mathrm{d}\Omega^{2} & \text{Flat geometry} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}r^{2}}{1+r^{2}} + r^{2}\mathrm{d}\Omega^{2} & \text{Hyperbolic geometry} \end{cases}$$

• Due to observational evidence, we will use this

#### **Friedmann equations**



• Friedmann's 1st equation:

$$H(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G\rho(t)}{3}}$$

• Friedmann's 2nd equation:

$$rac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = -rac{4\pi G}{3} \Big[
ho(t) + 3p(t)\Big]$$

• Friedmann's 1st equation:

$$H(t) \equiv rac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = \sqrt{rac{8\pi G
ho(t)}{3}}$$

- Definition of the Hubble rate
- H(t) > 0 if  $\rho(t) \neq 0$
- Friedmann's 2nd equation:

$$rac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = -rac{4\pi G}{3} \Big[
ho(t) + 3
ho(t)\Big]$$

• Friedmann's 1st equation:

$$H(t) \equiv rac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = \sqrt{rac{8\pi G
ho(t)}{3}}$$

- Definition of the Hubble rate
- H(t) > 0 if  $\rho(t) \neq 0$
- Friedmann's 2nd equation:

$$rac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = -rac{4\pi G}{3} \Big[
ho(t) + 3p(t)\Big]$$

• Acceleration rate is negative for conventional matter

• Consider the following equation of state:

 $p(t) = w \rho(t)$ , where w = const.

• Depending on the value of *w* the time dependence of the scale factor is different:

 $a(t) \propto \begin{cases} t^{1/2}, & \text{if } w = 1/3, \text{ i.e. relativistic matter} \\ t^{2/3}, & \text{if } w = 0, \text{ i.e. non-relativistic matter} \\ \exp(t/t_0), & \text{if } w = -1, \text{ i.e. Dark Energy} \end{cases}$ 

• Consider the following equation of state:

 $p(t) = w\rho(t)$ , where w = const.

• Depending on the value of *w* the time dependence of the scale factor is different:

$$a(t) \propto egin{cases} t^{1/2}, \ t^{2/3}, \ \exp(t/t_0) \end{cases}$$

if w = 1/3, i.e. relativistic matter if w = 0, i.e. non-relativistic matter **if** w = -1, i.e. Dark Energy

• These are slowing down...

• Consider the following equation of state:

 $p(t) = w\rho(t)$ , where w = const.

• Depending on the value of *w* the time dependence of the scale factor is different:

$$\theta(t) \propto \begin{cases} t^{1/2}, \\ t^{2/3}, \\ \exp(t/t_0) \end{cases}$$

if w = 1/3, i.e. relativistic matter if w = 0, i.e. non-relativistic matter **if** w = -1, i.e. Cosmological constant

• ... but this one is getting faster!

ć

• But how to specify the content of the Universe, and how to introduce particle interactions?

- But how to specify the content of the Universe, and how to introduce particle interactions?
- We would like a differential equation describing the evolution of the number density of a given particle, where

- But how to specify the content of the Universe, and how to introduce particle interactions?
- We would like a differential equation describing the evolution of the number density of a given particle, where
  - 1. we use statistical description,

- But how to specify the content of the Universe, and how to introduce particle interactions?
- We would like a differential equation describing the evolution of the number density of a given particle, where
  - 1. we use statistical description,
  - 2. the number density always tends towards equilibrium,

- But how to specify the content of the Universe, and how to introduce particle interactions?
- We would like a differential equation describing the evolution of the number density of a given particle, where
  - 1. we use statistical description,
  - 2. the number density always tends towards equilibrium,
  - 3. the changes in the number density are proportional to the ratio between the Hubble expansion (-) and the production rate (+).

- Statistical physics, out-of-equilibrium processes  $\rightarrow$  Boltzmann equation
- Describes the evolution of the phase space density *f* in presence of some external forces

Collision term \_\_\_\_\_

 $\widehat{\mathsf{L}}[f] = \widehat{\mathsf{C}}[f] \longleftarrow$ 

kinematics Liouville operator

#### Definition:

$$\hat{\mathsf{L}}[f] \equiv \boldsymbol{p}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}} - \mathsf{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \boldsymbol{p}^{\beta} \boldsymbol{p}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}^{\alpha}}$$

We use that...

1. in the FLRW metric, the Christoffel symbols are given by

$$\Gamma^i_{j0} = H(t)\delta^i_j, \quad \Gamma^0_{ij} = H(t)g_{ij},$$

#### **Definition**:

$$\hat{\mathsf{L}}[f] \equiv \boldsymbol{p}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathsf{x}^{\alpha}} - \mathsf{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \boldsymbol{p}^{\beta} \boldsymbol{p}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}^{\alpha}}$$

We use that...

1. in the FLRW metric, the Christoffel symbols are given by

$$\Gamma^i_{j0} = H(t)\delta^i_j, \quad \Gamma^0_{ij} = H(t)g_{ij},$$

2. due to **isotropy** the phase space density cannot depend on  $p_i$ , only on  $|\mathbf{p}|$ ,

#### **Definition:**

$$\hat{\mathsf{L}}[f] \equiv p^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} p^{\beta} p^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{\alpha}}$$

We use that...

1. in the FLRW metric, the Christoffel symbols are given by

 $\overline{\Gamma_{j0}^{i}} \ge H(t)\delta_{j}^{i}, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{0} = H(t)g_{ij},$ 

2. due to **isotropy** the phase space density cannot depend on  $p_i$ , only on  $|\mathbf{p}|$ ,

#### **Definition:**

$$\hat{\mathsf{L}}[f] \equiv p^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} p^{\beta} p^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{\alpha}}$$

We use that...

1. in the FLRW metric, the Christoffel symbols are given by

$$\Gamma_{j0}^{i} \ge H(t)\delta_{i}^{i}, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{0} = H(t)g_{ij},$$

- 2. due to **isotropy** the phase space density cannot depend on  $p_i$ , only on  $|\mathbf{p}|$ ,
- 3. due to **homogeneity** the phase space density cannot depend on the spatial coordinates *x*<sup>*i*</sup>.

$$\hat{\mathsf{L}}[f] \equiv p^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} p^{\beta} p^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{\alpha}} \\ = E \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{0} - \Gamma^{0}_{ij} \mathbf{g}_{ij} p^{i} p^{j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} + \mathbf{0}$$

- Point 3. homogeneity
- Point 1. FLRW metric
- Point 2. isotropy

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}}[f] \equiv p^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} p^{\beta} p^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{\alpha}}$$

$$= E \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \Gamma^{0}_{ij} g_{ij} p^{i} p^{j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E}$$

$$= E \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - H(t) \frac{p^{2}}{E} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} \right]$$

$$\hat{L}[f] \equiv p^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} p^{\beta} p^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{\alpha}}$$

$$= E \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \Gamma^{0}_{ij} g_{ij} p^{i} p^{j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E}$$

$$= E \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - H(t) \frac{p^{2}}{E} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} \right]$$

Here we will be interested in the following definition of the **integrated Liouville term**:

$$g\int rac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3}\,rac{\hat{\mathsf{L}}[f]}{E}=\dot{n}+3H(t)n$$

(n =number density, g =degrees of freedom)

Consider  $a \rightarrow c + d$  decay:

$$g_{a} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}_{a}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{C}}[f]}{E_{a}} = \sum_{\mathrm{spins}} \int \mathrm{d}\Pi_{a} \overline{\mathrm{d}\Pi_{c} \mathrm{d}\Pi_{d}} (2\pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}(p_{a} - p_{c} - p_{d})$$

$$\times \underbrace{|\mathcal{M}|^{2}}_{\text{Polarized}} \left[ \underbrace{f_{c} f_{d} (1 \pm f_{a})}_{\text{Inverse decay}} - \underbrace{f_{a} (1 \pm f_{c}) (1 \pm f_{d})}_{\text{Decay}} \right],$$

$$\longrightarrow 1 \pm f = \begin{cases} 1 + f & \text{for bosons, Bose enhancement} \\ 1 - f & \text{for fermions, Pauli blocking} \end{cases}$$

Consider  $a + b \rightarrow c + d$  annihilation (new terms w.r.t. decays in red):

$$g_{a} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{p}_{a}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{C}}[f]}{E_{a}} = \sum_{\mathrm{spins}} \int \mathrm{d}\Pi_{a} \mathrm{d}\Pi_{b} \overline{\mathrm{d}\Pi_{c}\mathrm{d}\Pi_{d}(2\pi)^{4}} \delta^{(4)}(p_{\mathrm{in}} - p_{\mathrm{out}})$$

$$\times \underbrace{|\mathcal{M}|^{2}}_{\mathrm{Polarized}} \left[ \underbrace{f_{c}f_{d}(1\pm f_{a})(1\pm f_{b})}_{c+d\to a+b} - \underbrace{f_{a}f_{b}(1\pm f_{c})(1\pm f_{d})}_{a+b\to c+d} \right]$$

$$\longrightarrow 1 \pm f = \begin{cases} 1+f & \text{for bosons, Bose enhancement} \\ 1-f & \text{for fermions, Pauli blocking} \end{cases}$$

1. Approximate all particle distributions with Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, i.e.

$$f(\mathbf{p},\mu,m,T) = f_{\mathrm{MB}}(\mathbf{p},\mu,m,T) = \exp\left(-rac{E(\mathbf{p},m)-\mu}{T}
ight) \,.$$

1. Approximate all particle distributions with Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, i.e.

$$f(\mathbf{p},\mu,m,T) = f_{\mathrm{MB}}(\mathbf{p},\mu,m,T) = \exp\left(-rac{E(\mathbf{p},m)-\mu}{T}
ight)$$

2. By virtue of the MB statistics, neglect the Bose enhancement and Pauli blocking terms, i.e.

 $(1\pm f_{\mathrm{MB}})\simeq 1$ .
1. Approximate all particle distributions with Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, i.e.

$$f(\mathbf{p},\mu,m,T) = f_{\mathrm{MB}}(\mathbf{p},\mu,m,T) = \exp\left(-rac{E(\mathbf{p},m)-\mu}{T}
ight)$$

2. By virtue of the MB statistics, neglect the Bose enhancement and Pauli blocking terms, i.e.

$$(1\pm f_{\rm MB})\simeq 1$$
.

3. In cosmology, the working assumption is that the particle species equilibrate to  $\mu = 0$ , we define the equilibrium statistics by

$$f_{\mathrm{MB}}^{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathbf{p},\mu,m,T) = \exp\left(-\frac{E(\mathbf{p},m)}{T}\right)$$

#### **Definition:**

• For some quantity  $\mathcal{A}$ , the thermal average is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_{\mathsf{MB}}(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}_{i} \, \mathcal{A} f_{\mathsf{MB}}^{\mathsf{eq}}(\mathbf{p}_{i}, m_{i}, \mathcal{T})}{\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}_{i} \, f_{\mathsf{MB}}^{\mathsf{eq}}(\mathbf{p}_{i}, m_{i}, \mathcal{T})}$$

Where *n* depends on the nature of A.

#### **Definition:**

• For some quantity  $\mathcal{A}$ , the thermal average is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_{\mathsf{MB}}(T) = \frac{\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}_{i} \, \mathcal{A} f_{\mathsf{MB}}^{\mathsf{eq}}(\mathbf{p}_{i}, m_{i}, T)}{\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}_{i} f_{\mathsf{MB}}^{\mathsf{eq}}(\mathbf{p}_{i}, m_{i}, T)}$$

Where *n* depends on the nature of A.

• For decays (*n* = 1):

$$\langle \Gamma 
angle = \Gamma rac{K_1(z)}{K_2(z)} \,, \quad z = rac{m_{
m decay}}{T}$$

(center of mass frame vs cosmic rest frame)

• For some quantity  $\mathcal{A}$ , the thermal average is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_{\mathsf{MB}}(T) = \frac{\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}_{i} \, \mathcal{A} f_{\mathsf{MB}}^{\mathsf{eq}}(\mathbf{p}_{i}, m_{i}, T)}{\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}_{i} \, f_{\mathsf{MB}}^{\mathsf{eq}}(\mathbf{p}_{i}, m_{i}, T)}$$

Where *n* depends on the nature of A.

• For decays (*n* = 1):

$$\langle \Gamma 
angle = \Gamma rac{K_1(z)}{K_2(z)} \,, \quad z = rac{m_{
m decay}}{T}$$

(center of mass frame vs cosmic rest frame)

• For annihilation (*n* = 2):

$$\langle \sigma \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{M} \emptyset \mathsf{I}} \rangle(T) = \frac{1}{8m^4 T K_2^2 \left(\frac{m}{T}\right)} \int_{4\mu^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s \, \sigma(s) \left(s - 4m^2\right) \sqrt{s} \, \mathcal{K}_1 \left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{T}\right)$$

$$\dot{n}_{a} + 3Hn_{a} = \langle \Gamma \rangle \left[ rac{n_{c}n_{d}}{n_{c}^{\mathrm{eq}}n_{d}^{\mathrm{eq}}} n_{a}^{\mathrm{eq}} - n_{a} 
ight]$$

• For annihilation:

$$\dot{n}_{a} + 3Hn_{a} = \langle \sigma v_{\mathsf{Mol}} \rangle \left[ \frac{n_{c} n_{d}}{n_{c}^{\mathsf{eq}} n_{d}^{\mathsf{eq}}} n_{a}^{\mathsf{eq}} n_{b}^{\mathsf{eq}} - n_{a} n_{b} \right]$$

$$\dot{n}_{a} + 3Hn_{a} = \langle \Gamma 
angle \left[ rac{n_{c}n_{d}}{n_{c}^{
m eq}n_{d}^{
m eq}} n_{a}^{
m eq} - n_{a} 
ight]$$

• For annihilation:

$$\dot{n}_{a} + 3Hn_{a} = \langle \sigma v_{\mathsf{Mol}} \rangle \left[ \frac{n_{c} n_{d}}{n_{c}^{\mathsf{eq}} n_{d}^{\mathsf{eq}}} n_{a}^{\mathsf{eq}} n_{b}^{\mathsf{eq}} - n_{a} n_{b} \right]$$

• These are just 1 if the final states are in equilibrium (e.g. SM particles)

$$\dot{n}_{a} + 3Hn_{a} = \langle \Gamma \rangle \left[ rac{n_{c}n_{d}}{n_{c}^{
m eq}n_{d}^{
m eq}} n_{a}^{
m eq} - n_{a} 
ight]$$

• For annihilation:

$$\dot{n}_{a} + 3Hn_{a} = \langle \sigma v_{\mathsf{M} \varnothing \mathsf{I}} \rangle \left[ \frac{n_{c} n_{d}}{n_{c}^{\mathsf{eq}} n_{d}^{\mathsf{eq}}} n_{b}^{\mathsf{eq}} - n_{a} n_{b} \right]$$

- These are just 1 if the final states are in equilibrium (e.g. SM particles)
- Not particularly clear how the 3rd requirement on slide 15 is satisfied

$$\dot{n}_{a} + 3Hn_{a} = \langle \Gamma 
angle \left[ rac{n_{c}n_{d}}{n_{c}^{\mathrm{eq}}n_{d}^{\mathrm{eq}}} n_{a}^{\mathrm{eq}} - n_{a} 
ight]$$

• For annihilation:

$$\dot{n}_{a} + 3Hn_{a} = \langle \sigma v_{\mathsf{Mol}} \rangle \left[ \frac{n_{c} n_{d}}{n_{c}^{\mathsf{eq}} n_{d}^{\mathsf{eq}}} n_{a}^{\mathsf{eq}} n_{b}^{\mathsf{eq}} - n_{a} n_{b} \right]$$

• In reality we may have a combination of the right hand sides for multiple decay and/or annihilation channels!

• Rewrite the Boltzmann equations with temperature instead of time as the differential equation variable

- Rewrite the Boltzmann equations with temperature instead of time as the differential equation variable
- Relevant processes and energy scales

- Rewrite the Boltzmann equations with temperature instead of time as the differential equation variable
- Relevant processes and energy scales
- Coupled systems of differential equations

- Rewrite the Boltzmann equations with temperature instead of time as the differential equation variable
- Relevant processes and energy scales
- Coupled systems of differential equations
- How to actually solve them?

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

>

# **Neutrino Dark Matter**

in the Superweak model

Károly Seller

**ELTE Department for Theoretical Physics** 

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### 1 Dark Matter preliminary

### 2 Boltzmann equations revisited



• We don't really know!

- We don't really know!
- Dark = does not interact via the electromagnetic interaction

- We don't really know!
- Dark = does not interact via the electromagnetic interaction
- Technical definition in standard cosmology: any non-baryonic matter whose energy density dilutes as  $\rho\propto a^{-3}$
- Constitutes about 26% of the total energy density of the Universe (Planck:  $\Omega_{\rm DM}=$  0.265)

- We don't really know!
- Dark = does not interact via the electromagnetic interaction
- Technical definition in standard cosmology: any non-baryonic matter whose energy density dilutes as  $\rho\propto a^{-3}$
- Constitutes about 26% of the total energy density of the Universe (Planck:  $\Omega_{\rm DM}=$  0.265)
- Experimental evidence through its effects via gravity (so it has to be massive)

Assuming that dark matter exists and is in a form of a new particle species

 There are a number of ways in which dark matter may be produced → mechanism depends on the underlying particle physics model and parameters!

- There are a number of ways in which dark matter may be produced → mechanism depends on the underlying particle physics model and parameters!
- Main production mechanisms:
  - 1. Initial condition (e.g. axions)
  - 2. Freeze in
  - 3. Freeze out

- There are a number of ways in which dark matter may be produced → mechanism depends on the underlying particle physics model and parameters!
- Main production mechanisms:
  - 1. Initial condition (e.g. axions)
  - 2. Freeze in
  - 3. Freeze out
- Idea: dark matter species was subject to interactions at higher energies, but decoupled later, their lightest (stable) particles forming a relic density which we detect today

- There are a number of ways in which dark matter may be produced  $\rightarrow$  mechanism depends on the underlying **particle physics model** and **parameters**!
- Main production mechanisms:
  - 1. Initial condition (e.g. axions)
  - 2. Freeze in
  - 3. Freeze out
- Idea: dark matter species was subject to interactions at higher energies, but decoupled later, their lightest (stable) particles forming a relic density which we detect today
- We will look at these in more detail

- 1. Feeble coupling,  $g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- 2. Constrained initial conditions (initially 0 or close to 0 densities at  $T_{\rm rh}$ )
- 3. Light dark matter particle

- 1. Feeble coupling,  $g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- 2. Constrained initial conditions (initially 0 or close to 0 densities at  $T_{\rm rh}$ )
- 3. Light dark matter particle
- Nature of the mechanism:
  - 1. Dark matter species is unable to reach equilibrium with the cosmic plasma

- 1. Feeble coupling,  $g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- 2. Constrained initial conditions (initially 0 or close to 0 densities at  $T_{\rm rh}$ )
- 3. Light dark matter particle
- Nature of the mechanism:
  - 1. Dark matter species is unable to reach equilibrium with the cosmic plasma
  - 2. Production mainly via decays of other species

- 1. Feeble coupling,  $g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- 2. Constrained initial conditions (initially 0 or close to 0 densities at  $T_{\rm rh}$ )
- 3. Light dark matter particle

- 1. Dark matter species is unable to reach equilibrium with the cosmic plasma
- 2. Production mainly via decays of other species
- 3. Decoupling happens roughly at  $T \sim M_{\text{lightest}}$

- 1. Feeble coupling,  $g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- 2. Constrained initial conditions (initially 0 or close to 0 densities at  $T_{\rm rh}$ )
- 3. Light dark matter particle

- 1. Dark matter species is unable to reach equilibrium with the cosmic plasma
- 2. Production mainly via decays of other species
- 3. Decoupling happens roughly at  $T \sim M_{\text{lightest}}$
- 4. Density of dark matter particles increases with time up to decoupling

- 1. Feeble coupling,  $g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- 2. Constrained initial conditions (initially 0 or close to 0 densities at  $T_{\rm rh}$ )
- 3. Light dark matter particle

- 1. Dark matter species is unable to reach equilibrium with the cosmic plasma
- 2. Production mainly via decays of other species
- 3. Decoupling happens roughly at  $T \sim M_{\text{lightest}}$
- 4. Density of dark matter particles increases with time up to decoupling
- 5. Increasing coupling would generate larger relic densities

- 1. Dark matter species is unable to reach equilibrium with the cosmic plasma
- 2. Production mainly via decays of other species
- 3. Decoupling happens roughly at  $T \sim M_{\rm lightest}$
- 4. Density of dark matter particles increases with time up to decoupling
- 5. Increasing coupling would generate larger relic densities



- 1. Weak coupling,  $10^{-6} \lesssim g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-3}$  2. Intermediate to heavy dark matter particle

- 1. Weak coupling,  $10^{-6} \lesssim g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-3}$
- 2. Intermediate to heavy dark matter particle
- Nature of the mechanism:
  - 1. Dark matter species reaches equilibrium at high temperature ( $T \gg m_{
    m dm}$ )

#### • Requirements:

- 1. Weak coupling,  $10^{-6} \lesssim g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-3}$
- 2. Intermediate to heavy dark matter particle

- 1. Dark matter species reaches equilibrium at high temperature ( $T \gg m_{\rm dm}$ )
- 2. Equilibrium is maintained with efficient interactions (most importantly 2-to-2 processes)

#### • Requirements:

- 1. Weak coupling,  $10^{-6} \lesssim g_{
  m x} \lesssim 10^{-3}$
- 2. Intermediate to heavy dark matter particle

- 1. Dark matter species reaches equilibrium at high temperature ( $T \gg m_{\rm dm}$ )
- Equilibrium is maintained with efficient interactions (most importantly 2-to-2 processes)
- 3. Decoupling happens roughly at  $T \sim m_{
  m dm}$

#### • Requirements:

- 1. Weak coupling,  $10^{-6} \lesssim g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-3}$
- 2. Intermediate to heavy dark matter particle

- 1. Dark matter species reaches equilibrium at high temperature ( $T \gg m_{
  m dm}$ )
- 2. Equilibrium is maintained with efficient interactions (most importantly 2-to-2 processes)
- 3. Decoupling happens roughly at  $T \sim m_{
  m dm}$
- 4. Densities need to be decreased in order to not overproduce dark matter
# Dark matter production: freeze out

#### • Requirements:

- 1. Weak coupling,  $10^{-6} \lesssim g_{\rm x} \lesssim 10^{-3}$
- 2. Intermediate to heavy dark matter particle

#### • Nature of the mechanism:

- 1. Dark matter species reaches equilibrium at high temperature ( $T \gg m_{
  m dm}$ )
- 2. Equilibrium is maintained with efficient interactions (most importantly 2-to-2 processes)
- 3. Decoupling happens roughly at  $T \sim m_{
  m dm}$
- 4. Densities need to be decreased in order to not overproduce dark matter
- 5. Increasing coupling would generate smaller relic densities

### Dark matter production: freeze out

- Nature of the mechanism:
  - 1. Dark matter species reaches equilibrium at high temperature ( $T \gg m_{\rm dm}$ )
  - 2. Equilibrium is maintained with efficient interactions (most importantly 2-to-2 processes)
  - 3. Decoupling happens roughly at  $T \sim m_{
    m dm}$
  - 4. Densities need to be decreased in order to not overproduce dark matter
  - 5. Increasing coupling would generate smaller relic densities



- Measurement: gives us the value of  $\Omega_{\rm DM}$
- Theory: solution of the Boltzmann equation gives us the value of the relic number density  $n_{\infty}$

- Measurement: gives us the value of  $\Omega_{\rm DM}$
- Theory: solution of the Boltzmann equation gives us the value of the relic number density  $n_{\infty}$
- What is the connection between the two?

- Measurement: gives us the value of  $\Omega_{\rm DM}$
- Theory: solution of the Boltzmann equation gives us the value of the relic number density  $n_{\infty}$
- What is the connection between the two?
- Better to use the dimensionless comoving number density  $\mathcal{Y}$ , defined as

 $\mathcal{Y} = \frac{\text{number density}}{\text{total entropy density}}$ 

- Measurement: gives us the value of  $\Omega_{\rm DM}$
- Theory: solution of the Boltzmann equation gives us the value of the relic number density  $n_{\infty}$
- What is the connection between the two?
- Better to use the dimensionless comoving number density  $\mathcal{Y}$ , defined as

 $\mathcal{Y} = \frac{\text{number density}}{\text{total entropy density}}$ 

Assuming that dark matter particles are non-relativistic today,

$$\Omega_{
m DM}=$$
 611.53 $rac{m_{
m dm}}{
m keV}\mathcal{Y}_{\infty}$ 

• We have derived the Boltzmann equations in cosmology with time being the variable

- We have derived the Boltzmann equations in cosmology with time being the variable
- We would like to use temperature T rather than time
- We also use **comoving number density**  $\mathcal{Y}$  instead of *n*

- We have derived the Boltzmann equations in cosmology with time being the variable
- We would like to use temperature T rather than time
- We also use **comoving number density**  $\mathcal{Y}$  instead of *n*
- Rewriting to  $\mathcal{Y}$ :

$$\dot{n} + 3Hn = \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}na^3}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

- We have derived the Boltzmann equations in cosmology with time being the variable
- We would like to use temperature T rather than time
- We also use **comoving number density**  $\mathcal{Y}$  instead of *n*
- Rewriting to  $\mathcal{Y}$ :

$$\dot{n} + 3Hn = rac{1}{a^3}rac{\mathrm{d}na^3}{\mathrm{d}t} = rac{1}{a^3}rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(rac{n}{s}\cdot sa^3
ight)$$

- We have derived the Boltzmann equations in cosmology with time being the variable
- We would like to use temperature T rather than time
- We also use **comoving number density**  $\mathcal{Y}$  instead of *n*
- Rewriting to  $\mathcal{Y}$ :

$$\dot{n} + 3Hn = \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}na^3}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{n}{s} \cdot sa^3\right) = s \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

- We have derived the Boltzmann equations in cosmology with time being the variable
- We would like to use temperature T rather than time
- We also use **comoving number density**  $\mathcal{Y}$  instead of *n*
- Rewriting to  $\mathcal{Y}$ :

$$\dot{n} + 3Hn = \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}na^3}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{n}{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \mathrm{s}a^3\right) = \mathrm{s}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

• Rewriting to dimensionless variable  $z = \Lambda/T$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -z\frac{\dot{T}}{T}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}$$

- We have derived the Boltzmann equations in cosmology with time being the variable
- We would like to use temperature T rather than time
- We also use **comoving number density**  $\mathcal{Y}$  instead of *n*
- Rewriting to  $\mathcal{Y}$ :

$$\dot{n} + 3Hn = \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}na^3}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{n}{s} \cdot sa^3\right) = s \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

• Rewriting to dimensionless variable  $z = \Lambda/T$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -z\frac{\dot{T}}{T}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}$$

• This is a complicated function, which can be obtained through the conservation of entropy

- We have derived the Boltzmann equations in cosmology with time being the variable
- We would like to use temperature T rather than time
- We also use **comoving number density**  $\mathcal{Y}$  instead of *n*
- Rewriting to  $\mathcal{Y}$ :

$$\dot{n} + 3Hn = \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}na^3}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{a^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{n}{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \mathrm{s}a^3\right) = \mathrm{s}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

• Rewriting to dimensionless variable  $z = \Lambda/T$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -z\frac{\dot{\mathbf{T}}}{\mathbf{T}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}$$

• Roughly:

$$\frac{T}{T} = -H(T) \left[1 + \delta(T)\right] \approx -H(T)$$

• For simplicity with equilibrium densities for the non-dark matter particles

• Decays:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}}{\mathrm{d}z} = \sqrt{\frac{45}{4\pi^3}} \frac{z \cdot m_{\mathsf{Pl}}}{\Lambda^2} g^*_{\mathsf{decay}} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{z}\right) \langle \Gamma \rangle \left[\mathcal{Y}^{\mathsf{eq}} - \mathcal{Y}\right]$$

Effective degrees of freedom in decays

• Decays:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}}{\mathrm{d}z} = \sqrt{\frac{45}{4\pi^3}} \frac{z \cdot m_{\mathsf{Pl}}}{\Lambda^2} g^*_{\mathsf{decay}} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{z}\right) \langle \Gamma \rangle \left[\mathcal{Y}^{\mathsf{eq}} - \mathcal{Y}\right]$$

Effective degrees of freedom in decays

• Annihilation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}}{\mathrm{d}z} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{45}} \frac{m_{\text{Pl}}\Lambda}{z^2} g^* \left(\frac{\Lambda}{z}\right) \langle \sigma v_{\text{Møl}} \rangle \left[\mathcal{Y}_{\text{eq}}^2 - \mathcal{Y}^2\right]$$
Effective degrees of freedom

- As an example, consider the  $G_{\rm SM} \otimes U(1)_{\rm x}$  Superweak model (see talk by Z. Trócsányi)
- Dark matter candidate has to be sufficiently stable

- As an example, consider the  $G_{\rm SM} \otimes U(1)_{\rm x}$  Superweak model (see talk by Z. Trócsányi)
- Dark matter candidate has to be sufficiently stable  $\rightarrow$  lightest right-handed neutrino  $N_4$

- As an example, consider the  $G_{\rm SM} \otimes U(1)_{\rm x}$  Superweak model (see talk by Z. Trócsányi)
- Dark matter candidate has to be sufficiently stable  $\rightarrow$  lightest right-handed neutrino  $N_4$
- mass of  $N_4$  and the coupling  $g_x$  is largely unconstrained by the model

- As an example, consider the  $G_{\rm SM} \otimes U(1)_{\rm x}$  Superweak model (see talk by Z. Trócsányi)
- Dark matter candidate has to be sufficiently stable  $\rightarrow$  lightest right-handed neutrino  $N_4$
- mass of  $N_4$  and the coupling  $g_x$  is largely unconstrained by the model  $\rightarrow$  both **freeze in** and **freeze out** requirements can be met

- As an example, consider the  $G_{\rm SM} \otimes U(1)_{\rm x}$  Superweak model (see talk by Z. Trócsányi)
- Dark matter candidate has to be sufficiently stable  $\rightarrow$  lightest right-handed neutrino  $N_4$
- mass of  $N_4$  and the coupling  $g_x$  is largely unconstrained by the model  $\rightarrow$  both **freeze in** and **freeze out** requirements can be met
- We are therefore interested in the two separate regions in the parameter space where they are capable of reproducing  $\Omega_{\rm DM}$

- As an example, consider the  $G_{\rm SM} \otimes U(1)_{\rm x}$  Superweak model (see talk by Z. Trócsányi)
- Dark matter candidate has to be sufficiently stable  $\rightarrow$  lightest right-handed neutrino  $N_4$
- mass of  $N_4$  and the coupling  $g_x$  is largely unconstrained by the model  $\rightarrow$  both **freeze in** and **freeze out** requirements can be met
- We are therefore interested in the two separate regions in the parameter space where they are capable of reproducing  $\Omega_{\rm DM}$
- Insertion of the particle physics model into cosmology  $\rightarrow$  thermally averaged decay rates and cross sections of relevant processes

• For dark matter we need not consider the full spectrum

- For dark matter we need not consider the full spectrum
- Relevant dark sector particles: Z' and N<sub>4</sub>

- For dark matter we need not consider the full spectrum
- Relevant dark sector particles: Z' and N<sub>4</sub>
- Masses:
  - $m_4 = \mathcal{O}(10)$  keV in freeze-in
  - $m_4 = \mathcal{O}(10)$  MeV in freeze-out
  - $m_{Z'}= \hat{\mathcal{O}}(10)$  MeV, but with the constraint  $2m_4 \leq m_{Z'} \leq 2m_\mu$

- For dark matter we need not consider the full spectrum
- Relevant dark sector particles: Z' and N<sub>4</sub>
- Masses:
  - $m_4 = \mathcal{O}(10)$  keV in freeze-in
  - $m_4 = \mathcal{O}(10)$  MeV in freeze-out
  - $m_{Z'}= \hat{\mathcal{O}}(10)$  MeV, but with the constraint  $2m_4 \leq m_{Z'} \leq 2m_\mu$
- Important relative magnitudes:
  - $m_{\mathrm{Z}'} \ll m_{\mathrm{Z}}$
  - $g_{\rm x} \ll g_2$
  - $m_{
    u_i} \ll m_{
    m e} \ll m_{{
    m Z}'}$

- For dark matter we need not consider the full spectrum
- Relevant dark sector particles: Z' and N<sub>4</sub>
- Masses:
  - $m_4 = \mathcal{O}(10)$  keV in freeze-in
  - $m_4 = \mathcal{O}(10)$  MeV in freeze-out
  - $m_{Z'}=\mathcal{O}(10)$  MeV, but with the constraint  $2m_4\leq m_{Z'}\leq 2m_\mu$
- Important relative magnitudes:
  - $m_{\mathrm{Z}'} \ll m_{\mathrm{Z}}$
  - $g_{\rm x} \ll g_2$
  - $m_{
    u_i} \ll m_{
    m e} \ll m_{{
    m Z}'}$
  - For freeze-in  $m_4 \ll m_{{
    m Z}'}$

### Superweak model: vertices and decay rates I.

ELFT 2021 Winter School



### Superweak model: vertices and decay rates II.

ELFT 2021 Winter School



#### Superweak model: cross sections I.



#### Superweak model: cross sections II.



- Relevant processes: decays
- Coupling: has to be very small  $g_{
  m x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- Masses:  $m_4 \ll m_{{
  m Z}'}$
- Initial condition:  $\mathcal{Y}(T_0) \simeq 0$

### Freeze in mechanism: setup



### Freeze in mechanism: setup

- Relevant processes: decays
- Coupling: has to be very small  $g_{
  m x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- Masses:  $m_4 \ll m_{{
  m Z}'}$
- Initial condition:  $\mathcal{Y}(T_0) \simeq 0$
- We have to start all dark sector particles near 0 abundance! That means  ${\cal Y}_{\rm Z'}\simeq {\cal Y}_4\simeq 0.$

### Freeze in mechanism: setup

- Relevant processes: decays
- Coupling: has to be very small  $g_{
  m x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- Masses:  $m_4 \ll m_{{
  m Z}'}$
- Initial condition:  $\mathcal{Y}(T_0) \simeq 0$
- We have to start all dark sector particles near 0 abundance! That means  ${\cal Y}_{Z'}\simeq {\cal Y}_4\simeq 0.$
- Two step production: production of  $Z' \rightarrow$  decay of Z' into  $N_4$  and other particles
#### Freeze in mechanism: setup

- Relevant processes: decays
- Coupling: has to be very small  $g_{
  m x} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- Masses:  $m_4 \ll m_{{
  m Z}'}$
- Initial condition:  $\mathcal{Y}(T_0) \simeq 0$
- We have to start all dark sector particles near 0 abundance! That means  ${\cal Y}_{\rm Z'}\simeq {\cal Y}_4\simeq 0.$
- Two step production: production of  $Z' \rightarrow$  decay of Z' into  $N_4$  and other particles
- How to produce Z'?  $\rightarrow$  use inverse decays of electrons and SM neutrinos (equilibrium densities!)

## Boltzmann equation for freeze in

- Schematically we have to solve:
  - $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}_{Z'}}{\mathrm{d}z}$  = production via inverse decay from electrons in equilibrium
    - + production via inverse decay from SM neutrinos in equilibrium
    - depletion via decay into right-handed neutrinos
    - $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}_4}{\mathrm{d}z} = \text{production via decay of } Z'$

## Boltzmann equation for freeze in

- Schematically we have to solve:
  - $\frac{d\mathcal{Y}_{Z'}}{dz}$  = production via inverse decay from electrons in equilibrium
    - + production via inverse decay from SM neutrinos in equilibrium
    - depletion via decay into right-handed neutrinos

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Y}_4}{\mathrm{d}z} =$$
 production via decay of  $Z'$ 

- Note:
  - $N_4$  density is strictly increasing, since inverse decays from  $N_4$  into other particles is negligible due to  $\mathcal{Y}_4 \ll \mathcal{Y}_4^{\rm eq}$
  - The second equation is decoupled from the first in a sense, that given a solution for the evolution of Z', the evolution of  $N_4$  can be calculated

### Freeze in example solution



### Freeze in example solution: Z'



#### Freeze in example solution: Neutrino



- Relevant processes: annihilations
- Coupling: has to be around  $g_{\rm x} \sim 10^{-4} 10^{-6}$
- Masses: To exploit resonant production,  $m_{
  m Z'}\gtrsim 2m_4$ , while  $m_{
  m Z'}\ll m_{
  m Z}$
- Initial condition:  $\mathcal{Y}(T_0) = \mathcal{Y}^{eq}(T_0)$

#### Freeze out mechanism: setup



#### Freeze out mechanism: setup



- Relevant processes: annihilations
- Coupling: has to be around  $g_{\rm x} \sim 10^{-4} 10^{-6}$
- Masses: To exploit resonant production,  $m_{
  m Z'}\gtrsim 2m_4$ , while  $m_{
  m Z'}\ll m_{
  m Z}$
- Initial condition:  $\mathcal{Y}(T_0) = \mathcal{Y}^{eq}(T_0)$
- This time we only have to solve the Boltzmann equation for N<sub>4</sub>

- Relevant processes: annihilations
- Coupling: has to be around  $g_{\rm x} \sim 10^{-4} 10^{-6}$
- Masses: To exploit resonant production,  $m_{
  m Z'}\gtrsim 2m_4$ , while  $m_{
  m Z'}\ll m_{
  m Z}$
- Initial condition:  $\mathcal{Y}(T_0) = \mathcal{Y}^{eq}(T_0)$
- This time we only have to solve the Boltzmann equation for N<sub>4</sub>
- Difficulty: integration of the thermally averaged cross section (has to be done numerically)

### Freeze in example solution



#### Freeze in example solution: Neutrino



• Definition:

$$\langle \sigma v_{\mathsf{M} \wp \mathsf{I}} 
angle(T) = rac{1}{8m_4^4 T K_2^2 \left(rac{m_4}{T}
ight)} \int_{4m_4^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{ds} \, \sigma(s) \left(s - 4m_4^2\right) \sqrt{s} \, \kappa_1 \left(rac{\sqrt{s}}{T}
ight) \, .$$

• Definition:

$$\langle \sigma v_{\mathsf{M} \wp \mathsf{I}} 
angle(T) = rac{1}{8m_4^4 T K_2^2 \left(rac{m_4}{T}
ight)} \int_{4m_4^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{ds} \, \sigma(s) \left(s - 4m_4^2\right) \sqrt{s} \, \kappa_1\left(rac{\sqrt{s}}{T}
ight) \, .$$

• From kinematics,  $\sqrt{s} \ge 2m_4$ 

• Definition:

$$\langle \sigma v_{\mathsf{Mol}} 
angle(T) = rac{1}{8m_4^4 T K_2^2 \left(rac{m_4}{T}
ight)} \int_{4m_4^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{ds} \, \sigma(s) \left(s - 4m_4^2\right) \sqrt{s} \, K_1\left(rac{\sqrt{s}}{T}
ight) \, .$$

- From kinematics,  $\sqrt{s} \ge 2m_4$
- The integrand involves a Bessel function,

$$K_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{T}\right) \leq K_1\left(\frac{2m_4}{T}\right)$$

• Definition:

$$\langle \sigma v_{\mathsf{Mol}} 
angle(T) = rac{1}{8m_4^4 T K_2^2 \left(rac{m_4}{T}
ight)} \int_{4m_4^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{ds} \, \sigma(s) \left(s - 4m_4^2\right) \sqrt{s} \, K_1\left(rac{\sqrt{s}}{T}
ight) \, .$$

- From kinematics,  $\sqrt{s} \ge 2m_4$
- The integrand involves a Bessel function,

$$K_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{T}\right) \leq K_1\left(\frac{2m_4}{T}\right)$$

• If  $z = m_4/T \gg 1$ :

$$K_1\left(rac{m_4}{T}
ight) \propto rac{\exp(-x)}{\sqrt{x}} \quad 
ightarrow$$

exponentially falls at low temperature

• Definition:

$$\langle \sigma v_{\mathsf{Mol}} \rangle(T) = \frac{1}{8m_4^4 T K_2^2 \left(\frac{m_4}{T}\right)} \int_{4m_4^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{ds} \, \sigma(s) \left(s - 4m_4^2\right) \sqrt{s} \, \kappa_1 \left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{T}\right) \, .$$

- From kinematics,  $\sqrt{s} \ge 2m_4$
- The integrand involves a Bessel function,

$$K_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{T}\right) \leq K_1\left(\frac{2m_4}{T}\right)$$

• If  $z = m_4/T \gg 1$ :

 $K_1\left(rac{m_4}{T}
ight) \propto rac{\exp(-x)}{\sqrt{x}} \quad o \quad ext{exponentially falls at low temperature}$ 

• On the figure shown,  $m_4 = 20$  MeV, and decoupling happens around T = 1 MeV, here  $K_1(40) \approx 10^{-18}$  already.

• In the model, both freeze in and freeze out are possible, but at very different regions of the parameter space

- In the model, both freeze in and freeze out are possible, but at very different regions of the parameter space
- Freeze in requires very small couplings, making the experimental check near impossible today

- In the model, both freeze in and freeze out are possible, but at very different regions of the parameter space
- Freeze in requires very small couplings, making the experimental check near impossible today
- Freeze out needs couplings of order  $10^{-5} 10^{-6}$ , but at the expense of resonant production, i.e. the masses have to be tuned so that  $2m_4 \leq m_{Z'}$
- Freeze out is better constrained by experiments, and the preferred region of parameter space cannot be fully ruled out

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

>